## 3. Aether

## <u>Contents</u>

Contents	1
Zero-point field energy	1
Comparing light energy with thought energy	1
The energetic void	2
Aether – Fount of the Physical Creation	4
There are no Lords of Creation	5
George acknowledges the help received whilst writing his book	7
Scientists are making things too complicated on the subject of Aether	8
All is intermingled, you cannot have separation1	0
Aether plays an important role in creation and existence1	0
Paul asks after George's unfinished book on 'Aether'1	1

## Zero-point field energy

George asks about this newly discovered energy which had also been discovered by the ancients. This links in with George's research on Aether ten years later. (27/5/02)

<u>George</u>: I have a different type of question. I heard this week that scientists have discovered what is termed a 'zero-point field', which is seen as an energy source or a type of energy which stretches through the entire cosmos. This could have quite deep implications for planet Earth and I just wondered if this was one of the energy discoveries that you referred to sometime back.

Yes, I have held back my words to you, because did I not tell you that there would be many discoveries on this planet that were unknown at the time of my speaking to you? (You did.) There will be many, many more discoveries, not only on this planet, but beyond, and those who study the planets will also discover many more things which will be helpful to mankind.

<u>George</u>: Could I enquire if this discovery has been made before by past great civilizations? Yes, a simple yes will suffice for now. We may, at another time, discuss with you, because I know you have a mind which is active and curious and is ever turning. Therefore, we will discuss just how much knowledge man had and that knowledge has disappeared from mankinds—what shall we say— understanding. But yes, this is not new as far as mankind is concerned, it is just little known of.

Comparing light energy with thought energy

George asks a question about the aether, or continuum, and makes a comparison between how light travels as a physical compression wave, and how thought travels as spiritual energy, which is instantaneous. Both are energy, but thought is a much finer. (30/7/12)

<u>George:</u> I have for a number of years thought about the 'aether', or 'continuum', whatever we call it, and about the nature of LIGHT and it would make a lot of sense to me to regard LIGHT as a compression wave travelling through the aether. Being a compression wave, it would give it something of physical character and we relate LIGHT to the physical creation and it has a measurable pressure when it falls on a surface, and it has a particular speed at which it travels, which does rather make it part of the physical system and I feel it is all to do with the compressed parts of the wave; whereas with THOUGHT, that has been spoken of in some sources as a torsion or twisting wave motion, which has no pressure in any part of it, so that remains purely non-physical and perhaps that goes some way to explaining why THOUGHT travels in an instantaneous way, whereas LIGHT being much more physical has a particular speed and physical properties.

Yes, my friend, you have differentiated between what you call 'waves'. I like to use the word 'energies' (yes). Of course, I have always taught you that THOUGHT is the most powerful thing that you can ever possess, and yes, THOUGHT belongs to spirit. It has no weight, it is *pure* energy, it belongs to the energy of the whole of Creation. That is why THOUGHT can travel throughout many universes in an instant—even more quickly than an instant!—I have to use physical words for explanation only. Yes, I understand your comparisons and if it helps you to understand the continuation of life, then so be it—that is your way.

<u>George:</u> Well it helps me to understand, (**yes**) by seeing the continuum as having two distinctive types of wave that can travel through it.

Yes, because that is your way of thinking and I would say to all of you within this room, you each must find your own way of discovery of truth. I could put words into your mouth, but that is not what I wish to do.

<u>George:</u> And I appreciate that any movement within the continuum is energy. Yes, it is *all* energy and that is being recognised. But yes, THOUGHT is a much different process.

George: And yet it would seem to be in the same medium-

It is all part of the same energy, but it is much finer, much more refined (yes). That is the simplest way that I can put it to you.

Sarah: That sounds a good way actually.

But you must, each of you, find what sits comfortably with you and the way to do that I reiterate, is to go *within*, to find that energy of THOUGHT within that takes you throughout all of Creation, where you become *part* of Creation. Do you understand? <u>George:</u> Yes.

That should be your goal, where all things are part of each other.

<u>George:</u> Yes, that is a thought that has become much stronger with me in recent years. That is what we are trying to achieve for you *all*.

The energetic void

George gets confirmation that the 'energetic void' is the same thing as the more oldfashioned term, 'aether'. Both are spiritual energy. (24/9/12)

George: Salumet, I wonder if I could ask a general question about spirit? (Yes) Spirit has always been (yes), mind is part of spirit and I think we appreciate that spirit has a number of parts (yes). And then there is what we call the 'energetic void', which extends throughout the Universe (yes). I am wondering if that has always been or is it a part of Creation? It is a part of Creation, but it has the ability to *change*. So yes, it has always been. George: And I imagine spirit has to be in association with the energetic void?

#### Yes, you cannot separate the two.

<u>George:</u> Going on from there—this may be an over-complicated idea, but if the energetic void is part of evolution, then I would imagine it continues to be created and is in pace, in a way, with the expanding Universe?

#### Yes, as I have said, it is able to change.

George: Yes, and I suspect that what we call black holes at the centre of galaxies, are energy-converters, and I think physical matter and light feed into those black holes, and I suspect that the energetic void is being produced here, in pace with Universe expansion. When all belongs to Creation, we do not speak of static energy. I have told you energy is ever moving/changing (yes). And of course, every planet that is within every Universe has its own pocket of energy, to put it in a simplified way. So yes, you cannot separate the two, although your scientists would say: that is not quite correct—as I am sure you are aware.

George: Yes, it seems a very logical notion that the energetic void is part of Creation and ongoing.

Yes, and the word 'void,' is slightly misleading I feel. What is a void?

<u>George:</u> I think it simply means that material atoms are missing from it.

Yes, but it is still part of all Creation (yes)—yes, you cannot dismiss this in any other way. George: And spirit and mind —

Mind belongs to spirit, yes —

George: Mind belongs to spirit and in a sense would seem to be conveyed by this badly named void.

Well, there are many ways to explain it, but I feel for the sake of the group as a *whole*, these are complicated matters-matters that although of interest to many, really are unimportant at this stage of existence. It is something that you can begin to unfold and understand at a later date. But I know you have an active mind my dear friend and seek many answers. But again, I will say try to keep all of these thoughts on a more simplistic wavelength.

George: Well that does help clarify and I think it's firmly with us that mind is part of spirit and —

Jan: Consciousness is part of spirit—so you can't have a void if consciousness is part of spirit—it doesn't make any sense that there should be any void at all.

All is energy, whether you give it a name or not—yes, that is true.

George: Well the old-fashioned term was to call it 'aether', which is perhaps a better word. Yes, I would agree. I would prefer to use that word. It is basically a spiritual energy—yes and all is part of Creation—you cannot separate it.

<u>George:</u> Yes, well thank you for that also. Yes, that does help to clarify the thinking. It helps if we use sensible words for our descriptions.

#### George's notes

<u>AETHER:</u> 'Aether' (Sometimes spelled 'ether' just to confuse it with the anaesthetic), is the old name, before it was given half a dozen quite different names to suit mathematician's and scientist's meandering ways. In Plato's 'Timaeus' the Aether is described as: 'that which God used in the delineation of the universe'. A 1930s quote re aether states: '...has dropped out of science, not because scientists as a whole have formed a reasoned judgement that no such thing exists, but because they find they can describe all the phenomena of nature quite perfectly without it.'

The Universe Around Us ... James Jeans

We are of course, in a position to strongly dispute that wrong thinking in science, and it is time to reinstate the aether using its original name!

### Aether – Fount of the Physical Creation

George asks if this is a subject that is worthy of research and a possible publication in the future. Salumet agrees that it is, because without Aether, there would be no life. *In 2016 George dies before the book is finished and remains so at the time of writing (2020). The group awaits Salumet's enquiry as to whether George, now in spirit, wishes the book to be finished by other members.* (10/3/14)

<u>George</u>: This may possibly connect, but I feel I am being nudged from spirit to do some further writing. And the working title of the piece should be: *"AETHER – Fount of the Physical Creation."* Does that sound a feasible and a reasonable subject for investigating further and writing about—I realise that there is an enormous amount of reading first that I should do in that.

Yes, as always there is much contradiction about this matter. But you would not be here without the aether. You would not exist without aether (yes). So, my answer to you is 'yes,' it is an important subject and if you are feeling the nudge then we are doing our work well.

George: And I feel this would be a good time to try to extend that knowledge.

Yes, because my dear friend, at this very time in the Earth's development, always you have to strive to gain more spiritual knowledge, and that is why we work closely with all of the people who are involved in trying to prove certain things—your scientists for example (yes). So, it is important to voice what you know and what you *feel* to be true. And of course, as we well know, there are many things that will never be believed on your Earth plane by many. But that is not to say it should never be voiced. Of course, it must, and if you are feeling nudged from our side, that indeed is the time to go forward. So, I say to you dear friend: you will have our spiritual backing for your next book of words. <u>George:</u> Thank you! I thought it would be an article at first, and then possibly a paper (yes), but it might even be a book.

# We have always trusted your judgement, and we know full well that you are open to influence.

<u>George:</u> Thank you. There is one detail in connection with this where I am very uncertain, and I wonder if I could place a question to you? It is about the spiritual light of the sun, which you have mentioned, and a number of other sources have mentioned also **(yes)**. I think generally we have quite a poor understanding of this, and I would just like to say: disregarding motion, is there any significant difference between the spiritual light of the sun, and the aether?

#### Yes, there is considerable difference.

#### George: Are the two related?

Everything that exists is related—let me say that first and foremost to you. When you speak of the spiritual light of the sun—all planets have a spiritual light (yes), but we know we are speaking of the spiritual light of the sun. Yes, the aether is the foundation of all life. There is a significant difference, but it is not easy for *me* to explain that difference to you (right). You understand?

#### George: I understand, yes.

But there most certainly is a difference (thank you). I hope those few words can help you to garner your own thoughts.

<u>George:</u> Yes, and that will save me quite a bit of extra time pondering that issue—thank you Salumet **(yes)**.

George is able to link back to classical times when the subject of Aether, or fifth dimension, was considered important. Well done George!

#### George's Notes:

<u>The Aether:</u> The translucent aether was mentioned by Plato in Timaeus and Aristotle, who had been Plato's pupil at the Academy, held that it was the fifth element; so that Earth, Air, Fire, water and Aether were seen as five fundamental elements of creation in those classical times. Later, aether was aptly described as a non-material something extending throughout space, through which light travels. The wave theory of light proposed by Christian Huygens describes aether as a perfectly elastic omnipresent medium of zero density through which light waves travel. The famed Michelson-Morley experiment (comparing the speed of light in two directions) failed to detect it. Hence, throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> century our mainstream science has ceased to recognize its existence, neither does it feature in the equations of motion of Newton and Maxwell or the mass-energy equivalence of Einstein. We know, however, from Salumet and from several other sources, that there is indeed an elastic and energetic medium throughout this universe and it underpins all physical creation. Further to the Michelson-Morley experiment, measurements made by E W Silvertooth (1986), using laser equipment, reports positive detection. There is also the pyramid energy that we know arises as the result of aether sweeping a pyramid due to Earth rotation. So, it is time to acknowledge the reality of the 'fifth element' of those faraway classical times.

## There are no Lords of Creation

George asks about a communicator called Zabdiel who is a member of the Angelic Group and what he had to say about creation. Salumet simplifies creational energy as God Power.

(12/5/14)

<u>George:</u> I have been reading recently Salumet, about a wonderful spirit of the name: 'Zabdiel,' who came through the Rev G Vale-Owen in the year 1913, and he describes his place in spirit as 'Sphere 10'; so, I think he is a fairly well advanced one in spirit. Much of what he says is in line with what you have told us.

#### He is one of the Angelic Group.

<u>George:</u> Ah! He is Angelic! Yes, and in particular he was mentioning 'cosmic energy' as being more fundamental than the aether; and the process of creation involving both cosmic energy and the aether, and this leading to the material Creation. And I couldn't help thinking there is so much in line with your teaching in that.

Yes, he still has a way to go, as we all do. But yes, when you speak of Creation you are speaking of *'prime energy'*. You are speaking of that Great Power who creates all things. And in creating the material worlds that he has—and I use *'he'* very dubiously—because he is *'energy'*, not a person. Yes, all energy comes from the same source; whether it is to create universes or to create a planet such as Earth. You have to realise that no matter what you call it—cosmic energy—aether—it does not matter. To us, who have come some way, we recognise it only as the God Power.

<u>George:</u> Yes, I felt that his medium was perhaps not quite a pure channel. He mentions 'Lords of Creation', as if there are actual beings responsible for the material creation.

I believe if you look to the name it will give you something as to why that was voiced. <u>Paul:</u> —Because he was a *Reverend*.

Yes (yes!)—Still being influenced, even although he says he comes from level 10 I believe you said. I am not at one with these levels. I would prefer to say that is a *blending* at each stage of progression.

<u>George:</u> Yes, so it reflects that—

There is still a reflection of the medium.

<u>George:</u> Yes, not quite a pure channel. In the case of Eileen here, her consciousness is placed entirely to one side, so—

Yes, if you are being used in deep trance then of course consciousness is placed to one side. Whilst there remains any chance of human elements—human thoughts, then they will creep in eventually, yes.

<u>George:</u> Yes, so we can say that your channel is a pure channel, whereas a *partial* trance medium cannot provide quite a pure channel.

Most of the time their *channelling* as you call it, is perfectly good, but always as I have said, there is always the risk of the human mind coming into play.

<u>George</u>: So perhaps describing 'Lords of Creation' isn't quite right.

#### There are no Lords of Creation.

<u>George:</u> No, the Creation is simply a natural (yes) process I believe (yes). Thank you for that.

#### George's Notes:

<u>ZABDIEL</u>: Zabdiel spoke with authority through Rev George Vale-Owen in December 1913. He speaks on the creation, referring to spiritual energy obeying the dictates of will of spiritual

beings to create matter. He makes frequent reference to aether as preceding the material creation. And he refers to what he calls the 'aether atom'. Although the existence of aether was dismissed by our scientists through the 20<sup>th</sup> century, it is recognised by certain modern cosmologists (DSSU theory) today, and the term 'quantised space' is used. There are many terms in use, but 'aether atom' and 'quantised space' sound very much alike! Zabdiel also speaks of how energy can be transmuted. So, allowing for some understandable imperfections, this is an interesting source.

### George acknowledges the help received whilst writing his book

George says thank you for the help that he has received whilst working on his book about Aether. (24/11/14)

<u>George:</u> I have a thought Salumet. During this brief period when we have not met, I have been writing about the *Aether*, and I have felt much guidance and I am most grateful and I did not exactly do my own planning from the start, but a set of 15 chapters seem to have presented themselves one after the other and that next book on the nature of the Aether and it's part in Creation is well underway, so thank you very much.

You are a fountain of knowledge within this group, but I do have to say, although you are aware of the help, we give to you, sometimes you close your ears and do not listen to us. <u>George:</u> Oh dear.

Yes—not in a negative way so much, as that you have to be aware of your *Earthly* body, as well as your spiritual body. There is a very fine balance and I wish this for all of you, not just our dear friend the writer. You are influenced at all times and that is why I have said you must take full responsibility for all that you think and all that you do. *(Pause)* 

Yes, I do not hear too many words (*Chuckles*), but I hope it gives you some time to think and to move forward. After all, that is part of the aim of this group—not only to be messengers of Spirit, but to grow, to recognise where you have come from, to acknowledge that Spirit that you all are.

<u>George:</u> Yes, we must take full responsibility. But as regards—when I say 'help' from Spirit, it is as if there is a catalyst influencing thoughts.

Yes, you are being helped greatly, but you have earned that help my dear friend. You have put pen to paper—words sometimes influenced by us, but other times through sheer work—those words have been put down to paper.

<u>George:</u> Yes, the difficulty sometimes is bringing together the help from Spirit and humanity's scientific research and trying to combine the two.

Yes, you will never combine the two in your lifetime, but I would say you are trying very hard (Thank you). The knowledge of Spirit is still very young, although we are completely satisfied with what is happening in your world. The knowledge of Spirit is becoming greater and greater, but as with all good things, it does not happen quickly—yes. Would anyone wish to speak—not question, but rather how they have been feeling?

George discusses this problem and then moves onto the idea that the 'steady state model' of the universe is better than the 'Big Bang' model. (23/2/15)

<u>George:</u> Yes—we have spoken on the energy of the aether **(yes)**. There is a scientist— Professor Reginald Cahill—who uses the term 'quantum foam', which I feel is an attempt to describe the nature, or part of the nature of the aether, and in order to get their papers accepted by journals these days, there is an avoidance of the term 'aether' and scientists tend to call it by quite a large number of different names—

Yes, whatever suits the mind of even one person, if it makes sense then that is fine by them. And I will speak from spirit when I say that there is much work going on in trying to understand your world and your universes (yes), but I have to say, my dear friends, that it all has to become much simpler (yes). Scientists are making things too complicated, because of their own logical minds.

<u>George:</u> Their intellectual way of thinking (yes), yes, I fully agree.

But it really matters not to us in spirit, what they call something, as long as they eventually reach the spiritual aspect.

<u>George:</u> Quite simply, I think you have told us the aether plays one role in up-keeping all matter in its various forms?

Everything is connected (yes). That is the simplicity—everything is connected in some way or another.

<u>George</u>: And there seems to be evidence for the aether being drawn into bodies in the universe—or perhaps 'being drawn into' is the wrong expression.

Yes, I would not like to use it in that way, but I understand what you are saying and of course, all beings have to use the energies which are part of them, which is used for existence, no matter whether it is a human existence a worldly existence or universal existence (yes). That energy is common to all things—you understand?

<u>George:</u> Yes, and we talk about the connection to planets and suns---and to galaxies, one might say—

No—you must say, not might say. You cannot exclude— (Chuckles)

<u>George:</u> Yes, one *must* say, yes. And one can easily visualise a connection in the case of a *spiral* galaxy, and the form of that connection I would guess has to initiate the spiral form? I see what you are saying, but again, you are trying to dictate that there has to be form at all times. When you come to spirit, you will lose these ideas of form. You understand where I am taking you?

George: I think so, and not all galaxies are spiral form.

No, but I do not like to dissuade people to not think, because by thinking, even if you are mistaken, eventually you can come to the right outcome.

<u>George:</u> I feel there's been an awful lot of that in our scientific journey!

And much more to come of course, but all of these things are less important when you travel home. You will eventually understand more and wonder why all of these situations seemed so complicated.

<u>George:</u> Yes—because we made them complicated, no doubt.

# Because your own thinking has complicated them—yes. I hope that has helped you just a little.

<u>George:</u> Yes indeed—yes, thank you. It's a great help to stand back—it's like seeing things from a greater distance **(yes)**, if I could put it like that.

'Clarity' is a word to use for all things—clear seeing, clear sensing, and then the picture begins to clear also. And we will leave it there just for this time. But, as with all knowledge, a little at a time is more beneficial, and that is what you are trying to achieve—to know and understand the form of existence. And I have to say my dear friend, it is not the kind of knowledge you will succeed in finding whilst upon Earth. George: Yes, I can accept that.

# But we always thank you greatly for your efforts and to the knowledge that you have brought to others.

<u>George:</u> Well, I think we're in the process of realising the Big Bang thinking was totally wrong.

#### Yes—but interesting.

(Laughs)

<u>George</u>: And we are changing to a 'steady state' theory **(yes)** which I think we're all agreed is a more satisfactory way of seeing existence.

# Yes, but there are always many who will dispute any information given from spirit, because they cannot justify it in their own minds.

<u>George</u>: But the gentleman I mentioned earlier, Reginald Cahill—I think I am correct in saying that he does align to the 'steady state' way of thinking, concerning the universe **(yes)**; and I'm not sure how correct his reasoning for this has been, but I think that's the way he is heading.

# Yes, well we will only encourage those who seek to seek the truth, as you all are seeking truth. And now my friends—

<u>George:</u> I thank you for that Salumet. **Thank you.** 

George's notes

GRAVITY AS QUANTUM FOAM IN-FLOW

Reginald T. Cahill, School of Chemistry, Physics and Earth Sciences, Flinders University, South Australia (2003).

Abstract:

The new information-theoretic Process Physics provides an explanation of space as a quantum foam system in which gravity is an inhomogeneous flow of the quantum foam into matter. The older Newtonian and General Relativity theories for gravity are analysed. It is shown that Newtonian gravity may be written in the form of an in-flow. General Relativity is also analysed as an in-flow, for those cases where it has been tested. An analysis of various experimental data demonstrates that absolute motion relative to

space has been observed by Michelson and Morley, Miller, Illingworth, Jaseja et al, Torr and Kolen, and by DeWitte. The Dayton Miller and Roland DeWitte data also reveal the in-flow of space into matter which manifests as gravity. The experimental data suggests that the inflow is turbulent, which amounts to the observation of a gravitational wave phenomena. A new in-flow theory of gravity is proposed which passes all the tests that General Relativity was claimed to have passed, but as well the new theory suggests that the so-called spiral galaxy rotation-velocity anomaly may be explained without the need of 'dark matter'. Various other gravitational anomalies also appear to be explainable. Newtonian gravity appears to be strictly valid only outside of spherically symmetric matter systems.

<u>Process Physics</u>: This is a new paradigm for the modelling of reality—a dynamic model in which space and matter are seen to arise from a fundamentally random but self-organising system.

### All is intermingled, you cannot have separation

George seeks clarity on this point. (10/8/15)

<u>George:</u> Salumet, I think I have a short one. Have you time for that? **(Yes)** Regarding 'spirit'—non-material, and it has this oneness which we're I think beginning to understand **(yes)**. Regarding the aether—that also is non-material **(yes)**. Does that have a similar oneness?

Of course, there can be no separateness. All belong together. All is intermingled, so you cannot separate. I know where you are going with that, but I have to say: you have to look at ALL as one.

<u>George:</u> Yes, that includes the material as well.

Yes, it is still part of the whole.

### Aether plays an important role in creation and existence

Salumet gives a few more hints about the role of aether and the information that is currently being fed to scientists. (16/5/19)

<u>George:</u> We have recently spoken of the 'Santilli telescope' and the observation of an antimatter universe **(yes)**. You suggested I might think further on this matter **(yes)**. Well, my physical thinking is rather like this: The aether plus its mode of transmission governs the *speed of light* to this planet. Its mode of transmission has been described as torsional or twisting, and this controls the speed of light until it strikes matter and transfers its energy to that. And thinking physically on this, if we consider a single twist, if it goes further to a *break point*, it will produce a clockwise part and an anti-clockwise part, which might suggest matter and anti-matter. Anyway, the aether represents a stage in the production—the creation of matter, and there seems to be good evidence for an anti-matter universe. And the present scientific community is aware and acknowledges that their reasoning leaves a large part of the universe unaccounted for. The anti-matter universe would contribute to that part which is not at the moment acknowledged. I would be glad of any further commentary you might have on that Salumet.

Yes, I understand what you are saying, but to simplify it for the others, it is not as complicated as it may seem. There will never be a full understanding with your scientific

world, but it indicates to us how much knowledge they are beginning to gain. Always I have told you there is plus and minus in all parts of life, and this applies to the matter of the universe and the universes further afield. Of course, I would say that your scientists are dealing very well with the information which is being fed to them from our world. That is the first step I have to make to you.

<u>George:</u> That is very nice to know.

They would have no knowledge at all if some of it was not coming from us. You know and understand that all of your life is dependent upon being spiritual beings. And when you speak of the aether—of course, it is the most important part in gauging how the rest of the universes work. But I feel for this time, your scientists need to remain uncomplicated with their visions. Do you understand?

George: Yes, I see.

And allow more knowledge to come from us, which would then gain *them* more knowledge, here on this Earth.

<u>George:</u> Yes, and it would speed this process up if (yes) more of them went within and— Yes, and listened to that still voice; yes of course it would help, but although in times past I have said almost they are a little ignorant of all that is happening. We have now reached a stage where some of them are beginning to listen—to work out for themselves that information, and to define what it is all about. But they will never have the full answer, not whilst you remain upon this Earth plane.

<u>George:</u> Yes, and would you agree that the aether plays a major role—

It is a natural role—it is not something that is *made*, it has always been.

<u>George:</u> Yes, it plays a major role in the Creation (yes) and a major role in — —existence.

George: Yes, and in controlling the speed of light.

—And that also, yes, I would agree with you on that one point (yes, wonderful). Does that help you?

<u>George</u>: That clarifies very nicely, thank you.

I hope that the others have understood, because you are a gentleman of much wisdom but it is not always easy for the others to fully understand your questions, but we are grateful for them.

<u>George:</u> Well thank you. Well, I'm writing this book on the aether **(yes)**, and it may well be that it will be beyond a few people, but I hope that some will find it of interest.

Yes, we can assure you there will be interest.

George: Oh thank you.

We thank you, my dear friend, we thank you for all the good work that you have done the words that you have printed, and many people have come to know just a little more of the life that continues.

<u>Mark:</u> I believe you told us over a year ago about the discovery of more planets and that's come to fruition—they announced that about a week ago, I think.

Yes, I am always happy to hear that our information materialises for you, and that you know that the words that I give to you are made of truth; we thank you for that.

### Paul asks after George's unfinished book on 'Aether'

Salumet confirms he will find out or ask George to come and speak directly. However, since returning 'home', it is likely that George's knowledge and priorities will have changed. The topic then returns to problems of understanding spiritual knowledge as is illustrated by the concept of cyclical time all being connected. (12/8/19)

<u>Paul:</u> I've been thinking a little bit about, it's a bit over 3 years now, since George passed to Spirit, and he never quite finished his last book on the AETHER. We feel it needs to be finished and published, but I'm not sure if any of us quite have that particular expertise that George had. It's just the conclusion that was left unfinished, I think sometimes he'd ask *you* for a final say, a final bit of input. I don't know if you'd be happy to perhaps help with the conclusion to the book, or maybe we can find a way of getting George to finish it from your side?

I will see for you what he wishes to do; I cannot answer without first speaking with him. I would probably say that he would find it not so important now, because he has different knowledge; so it may be that he would not want it finished in any way, but I will find out for you and someone, even perhaps George, as you call him, will bring that information to you. Paul: Thank you very much that would be wonderful—thank you.

You have to remember of course that when you come to Spirit that all of the things that you think and worry about no longer matter; when you come to Spirit, they do not have the same importance as when you are on Earth.

Paul: No, I can understand that...

Yes, you understand, so whatever his answer, it will be what he wishes.

Paul: Anyway, we are happy to finish it, if he wishes it published...

I'm sure within a short time, he will probably come to you, come close and explain what he wants; if not, there will always be someone willing to speak for him.

<u>Graham:</u> It's a good book, he put an awful lot of effort into it and he got so, so close, but he just wasn't well enough to finish it, so it would be nice to do something for him if we could.

Yes, of course he was inspired a lot of the time, but I know that you all know that. (Agreed) But when you come to Spirit, all of your ways of thinking gradually change; but he has only been with us quite a short time in your timing. Again, you know that 'Time' is a little difficult to convey to anyone—it is not an easy topic.

<u>Paul:</u> You just don't have time at all in Spirit, it seems. **(Yes)** A lot of people try to raise questions about that. People find it very hard, **(Yes)** the concept of no time.

It is complex and I would say to you all that there comes a time of acceptance and tolerance and you just allow that type of knowledge to await you for when you are ready for it—you understand?

<u>Paul:</u> Yes, I understand that. We can't possibly grasp everything with our human, **(No)** we have to accept that there are certain things that are a little bit beyond us at the moment, and 'Time' is one of them. Someone else was asking about freewill and I think you've answered this one before, but I couldn't find it in the transcripts, but this idea that because Time, Past, Present and Future are all One, the past and the future...**(It's all connected...)** Yes, and that's why some Seers are able to see the future, because it's already happened. **(Yes)** But then some people say, so how does freewill work, if it's already happened, if my future has already happened, how can I, where's my freewill gone, to choose not to have that?

Your freewill has already taken place. If they can see into the future, then they have exerted their freewill. You understand?

Paul: Right! Yes!

#### Their freewill has been used up, if I can put it that simply.

<u>Graham:</u> Yes, that does make sense. *(Agreed)* <u>Paul:</u> Yes, that had confused me a bit, thank you!